



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,943	05/22/2006	Claudio Cerqueira Lopes	149559.00100	9471

25207 7590 12/05/2007

POWELL GOLDSTEIN LLP
ONE ATLANTIC CENTER
FOURTEENTH FLOOR 1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET NW
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3488

EXAMINER

JAISLE, CECILIA M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1624

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/05/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/595,943	Applicant(s) LOPES ET AL.	
	Examiner Cecilia M. Jaisle	Art Unit 1624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cecilia M. Jaisle. (3)_____.

(2) Ms. Wilsa Martin. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 27 November 2007.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21-38.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' attorney pointed out that the wrong set of claims were used in preparing the Restriction Requirement of 31 October 2007. Accordingly, the Restriction Requirement of 31 October 2007 is withdrawn and an action on the merits of claims 21-38 will be forthcoming. The correct claims presented for examination are claims 21-38 in the Preliminary Amendment of 22 May 2006 and are presented in Paper No. 7.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Cecilia M. Jaisle/
Examiner, Art Unit 1624

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required